arXiv:1404.2032v2 [math.RT] 13 Jul 2014

ON HOCHSCHILD COHOMOLOGY OF A SELF-INJECTIVE SPECIAL BISERIAL ALGEBRA OBTAINED BY A CIRCULAR QUIVER WITH DOUBLE ARROWS

AYAKO ITABA

Abstract. We calculate the dimensions of the Hochschild cohomology groups of a self-injective special biserial algebra Λ_s obtained by a circular quiver with double arrows. Moreover, we give a presentation of the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence of Λ_s by generators and relations. This result shows that the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence of Λ_s is finitely generated as an algebra.

1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraically closed field. For a positive integer s, let Γ_s be the following circular quiver with double arrows:



Denote the trivial path at the vertex i by e_i. We set the elements $x = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_i$ and $y = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} b_i$ in the path algebra $K\Gamma_s$. Then $e_i x^n = x^n e_{i+n} = e_i x^n e_{i+n}$ and $e_i y^n = y^n e_{i+n} = e_i y^n e_{i+n}$ hold for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $n \geq 0$, where the subscript $i+n$ of e_{i+n} is regarded as modulo s. We denote by I the ideal generated by x^2, $xy+yx$ and y^2, that is, $I = \langle x^2, xy+yx, y^2\rangle = \langle e_i x^2, e_i(xy+yx), e_i y^2 \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1\rangle$. Then we define the bound quiver algebra $\Lambda_s = K\Gamma_s/I$ over K. This algebra Λ_s is a Koszul self-injective special biserial algebra for $s \geq 1$ (see Proposition 2.2), but is not a weakly symmetric algebra for $s \geq 3$. Our purpose in this paper is to study the Hochschild cohomology of Λ_s for $s \geq 3$.

We immediately see that Λ_1 is the exterior algebra in two variables $K[x,y]/\langle x^2, xy+yx, y^2\rangle$, and Xu and Han have studied the Hochschild cohomology groups and rings of the exterior algebras in arbitrary variables in [XH]. Also, in [ST] and [F], the Hochschild cohomology groups and rings for classes of some self-injective special biserial algebras have been studied. We notice that these classes contain algebras isomorphic to Λ_2 and Λ_4.

Date: July 15, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16D20, 16E40, 16G20.

Key words and phrases. Koszul algebra, special biserial algebra, self-injective algebra, Hochschild cohomology.

In [GHMS], Green, Hartmann, Marcos and Solberg constructed a minimal projective bimodule resolution for any Koszul algebra by using sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ $(n \geq 0)$ introduced by Green, Solberg and Zacharia in [GSZ]. Moreover, by using this method, minimal projective bimodule resolutions of several weakly symmetric algebras are constructed in [FO], [ST] and [ScSn]. In this paper, by the same method, we give a minimal projective bimodule resolution of Λ_s for $s \geq 1$ and compute the Hochschild cohomology group $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$ of Λ_s for $n \geq 0$ in the case where $s \geq 3$.

In [SnSo], Snashall and Solberg have defined the support varieties of finitely generated modules over a finite-dimensional algebra by using the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence, which are analogous to the support varieties for group algebras of finite groups. Furthermore, in [SnSo], Snashall and Solberg have conjectured that the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence is finitely generated as an algebra. So far, it has been proved that the Hochschild cohomology rings modulo nilpotence of the following classes of finite-dimensional algebras are finitely generated as algebras: group algebras of finite groups ([E]); self-injective algebras of finite representation type ([GSS1]); monomial algebras ([GSS2]); several self-injective special biserial algebras ([ES], [F], [ScSn], [ST]). However, in [X], Xu has found a counterexample to this conjecture (see also [S], [XZ]). In this paper, we give generators and relations of the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ of Λ_s for all $s \geq 3$, and show that $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ is finitely generated as an algebra.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ $(n \geq 0)$ for the right Λ_s-module $\Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s$. Moreover, by using $\mathcal{G}^n$, we construct a minimal projective resolution of Λ_s as a Λ_s-Λ_s-bimodule (see Theorem 2.6). In Section 3, we find a K-basis of the Hochschild cohomology group $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$ (see Proposition 3.8) and describe the dimension of $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$ for $n \geq 0$ and $s \geq 3$ (see Theorem 3.9). In Section 4, we investigate the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ for $s \geq 3$. In particular, it is shown that $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ is finitely generated as an algebra for $s \geq 3$ (see Theorem 4.1).

Throughout this paper, for all arrows a of Γ_s, we denote the origin of a by $o(a)$ and the terminus of a by $t(a)$. Also, we denote the enveloping algebra $\Lambda_s^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_K \Lambda_s$ of Λ_s by Λ_s^e. Note that there is a natural one to one correspondence between the family of Λ_s-Λ_s-bimodules and that of right Λ_s^e-modules. For the general notation, we refer to [ASS].

2. Sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ for $\Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s$, and a projective bimodule resolution $(Q^\bullet, \partial^\bullet)$ of Λ_s

Let $A = KQ/I$ be a finite-dimensional K-algebra, where Q is a finite quiver and I is an admissible ideal of KQ. We start by recalling the construction of sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ $(n \geq 0)$ in [GSZ]. Let $\mathcal{G}^0$ be the set of vertices of Q, $\mathcal{G}^1$ the set of arrows of Q, and $\mathcal{G}^2$ a minimal set of uniform generators of I. In [GSZ], Green, Solberg and Zacharia proved that, for each $n \geq 3$, we have a set $\mathcal{G}^n$ consisting of uniform elements in KQ such that there is a minimal projective resolution $(P^\bullet, d^\bullet)$ of the right A-module $A/\operatorname{rad}A$ satisfying the following conditions (a), (b) and (c):

(a) For each $n \geq 0$, $P^n = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{G}^n} t(x)A$.
(b) For each $g \in \mathcal{G}^n$, we have unique elements $r_h, s_k \in KQ$, where $h \in \mathcal{G}^{n-1}$ and $k \in \mathcal{G}^{n-2}$, satisfying $g = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}^{n-1}} hr_h = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{G}^{n-2}} ks_k$.
(c) For each $n \geq 1$, $d^n : P^n \to P^{n-1}$ is determined by $d^n(t(g)\lambda) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{G}^{n-1}} r_h t(g)\lambda$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}^n$ and $\lambda \in A$, where r_h denotes the element in (b).

In [GHMS], a minimal projective bimodule resolution of any Koszul algebra is given by using the above sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ $(n \geq 0)$. In this section, we construct sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ $(n \geq 0)$ for the right

Λ_s-modules $\Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s$, and then we give a minimal projective bimodule resolution $(Q^\bullet, \partial^\bullet)$ of Λ_s by following [GHMS].

2.1. **Sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ for $\Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s$.** In order to construct sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ for $\Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s$, we define the following elements $g^n_{i,j}$ in $K\Gamma_s$:

Definition 2.1. For $0 \leq i \leq s-1$, we put $g^0_{i,0} := e_i$, and, for $n \geq 1$, we inductively define the elements $g^n_{i,j} \in K\Gamma_s$ as follows:

- $g^n_{i,0} := g^{n-1}_{i,0}y$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$,
- $g^n_{i,j} := g^{n-1}_{i,j-1}x + g^{n-1}_{i,j}y$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,
- $g^n_{i,n} := g^{n-1}_{i,n-1}x$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$,

Throughout this paper, we regard the subscript i of $g^\bullet_{i,\bullet}$ as modulo s. Then we see that these elements $g^n_{i,j}$ are uniform, and that $o(g^n_{i,j}) = e_i$ and $t(g^n_{i,j}) = e_{i+n}$ hold for all $n \geq 0$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $0 \leq j \leq n$.

We put the set

$$\mathcal{G}^n = \{g^n_{i,j} \mid 0 \leq i \leq s-1,\ 0 \leq j \leq n\}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. It is easy to check that these sets satisfy the conditions (a), (b) and (c) in the beginning of this section.

Now, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *For $s \geq 1$, the algebra Λ_s is a Koszul self-injective special biserial algebra.*

Proof. By calculating directly the presentations of all indecomposable projective and injective Λ_s-modules, we easily see that Λ_s is self-injective. Moreover, let $d^0 : P^0 := \bigoplus_{x\in\mathcal{G}^0} t(x)\Lambda_s \to \Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s$ be the natural map. Then the projective resolution $(P^\bullet, d^\bullet)$ given by (a), (b) and (c) is a linear resolution of $\Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s$. Therefore, Λ_s is a Koszul algebra. □

In order to obtain a minimal projective resolution $(Q^\bullet, \partial^\bullet)$ of Λ_s as a Λ^e_s-module, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *For $n \geq 1$, the following equations hold:*

- $g^n_{i,0} = yg^{n-1}_{i+1,0}$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq s-1$,
- $g^n_{i,j} = yg^{n-1}_{i+1,j} + xg^{n-1}_{i+1,j-1}$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ *and* $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,
- $g^n_{i,n} = xg^{n-1}_{i+1,n-1}$ *for* $0 \leq i \leq s-1$.

The proof is easily done by induction on n.

2.2. **A minimal projective resolution of Λ_s as a right Λ^e_s-module.** In this subsection, by using the sets $\mathcal{G}^n$ ($n \geq 0$) of Section 2.1, we give a minimal projective resolution $(Q^\bullet, \partial^\bullet)$ of Λ_s as a right Λ^e_s-module.

First, we start with the definition of the projective Λ^e_s-module Q^n for $n \geq 0$. For simplicity, we denote $\otimes_K$ by $\otimes$ and, for $n \geq 0$, set the elements $b^n_{i,j} := o(g^n_{i,j}) \otimes t(g^n_{i,j})$ in $\Lambda_s o(g^n_{i,j}) \otimes t(g^n_{i,j})\Lambda_s$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 2.4. We define the projective Λ^e_s-module Q^n by

$$Q^n := \bigoplus_{g\in\mathcal{G}^n} \Lambda_s o(g) \otimes t(g)\Lambda_s = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{s-1}\bigoplus_{j=0}^{n} \Lambda_s b^n_{i,j}\Lambda_s \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

Next, we define the map ∂^n: $Q^n \to Q^{n-1}$ as follows:

Definition 2.5. We define $\partial^0 : Q^0 \to \Lambda_s$ to be the multiplication map, and, for $n \geq 1$, $\partial^n : Q^n \to Q^{n-1}$ to be the Λ_s^e-homomorphism determined by

- $b_{i,0}^n \longmapsto b_{i,0}^{n-1}y + (-1)^n y b_{i+1,0}^{n-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$,
- $b_{i,j}^n \longmapsto (b_{i,j-1}^{n-1}x + b_{i,j}^{n-1}y) + (-1)^n(yb_{i+1,j}^{n-1} + xb_{i+1,j-1}^{n-1})$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,
- $b_{i,n}^n \longmapsto b_{i,n-1}^{n-1}x + (-1)^n x b_{i+1,n-1}^{n-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$,

where the subscript $i+1$ of $b_{i+1,\bullet}^{\bullet}$ is regarded as modulo s.

By direct computations, we see that the composite $\partial^n\partial^{n+1}$ is zero for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore, $(Q^\bullet, \partial^\bullet)$ is a complex of Λ_s^e-modules.

Now, since Λ_s is a Koszul algebra by Proposition 2.2, the following theorem is immediate from [GHMS].

Theorem 2.6. *For $s \geq 1$, $(Q^\bullet, \partial^\bullet)$ is a minimal projective Λ_s^e-resolution of Λ_s.*

3. The Hochschild cohomology groups $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$

In this section, we calculate the Hochschild cohomology group $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$ for $n \geq 0$. By applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_s^e}(-, \Lambda_s)$ to the resolution $(Q^\bullet, \partial^\bullet)$, we have the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{Q}^0 \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}^1} \widehat{Q}^1 \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}^2} \widehat{Q}^2 \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}^3} \cdots \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}^{n-1}} \widehat{Q}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}^n} \widehat{Q}^n \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}^{n+1}} \widehat{Q}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\widehat{\partial}^{n+2}} \cdots,$$

where $\widehat{Q}^n := \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_s^e}(Q^n, \Lambda_s)$ and $\widehat{\partial}^n := \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_s^e}(\partial^n, \Lambda_s)$. We recall that, for $n \geq 0$, the n-th Hochschild cohomology group $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$ is defined to be the K-space $\mathrm{HH}^n(\Lambda_s) := \mathrm{Ext}^n_{\Lambda_s^e}(\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s) = \mathrm{Ker}\,\widehat{\partial}^{n+1}/\mathrm{Im}\,\widehat{\partial}^n$.

3.1. A basis of $\widehat{Q}^n$.

We start with the following easy lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *For integers $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, the K-space $o(g_{i,j}^n)\Lambda_s t(g_{i,j}^n) = e_i\Lambda_s e_{i+n}$ has the following basis:*

$$\begin{cases} 1, x, y, xy & \text{if } s = 1, \\ e_i, e_ixy & \text{if } s = 2 \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod 2, \\ e_ix, e_iy & \text{if } s = 2 \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \pmod 2, \\ e_i & \text{if } s \geq 3 \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod s, \\ e_ix, e_iy & \text{if } s \geq 3 \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \pmod s, \\ e_ixy & \text{if } s \geq 3 \text{ and } n \equiv 2 \pmod s. \end{cases}$$

Also, if $s \geq 4$ and $n \not\equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod s$, then $o(g_{i,j}^n)\Lambda_s t(g_{i,j}^n) = 0$.

Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. For $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, we define right Λ_s^e-module homomorphisms $\alpha_{i,j}^n$, $\beta_{i,j}^n$, $\gamma_{i,j}^n$, $\delta_{i,j}^n$ by the following equations: For $0 \leq k \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq l \leq n$,

(i) If $s = 1$, we define $\alpha_{0,j}^n$, $\beta_{0,j}^n$, $\gamma_{0,j}^n$, $\delta_{0,j}^n$: $Q^n \to \Lambda_1$ by

$$\alpha_{0,j}^n(b_{0,l}^n) = \begin{cases} e_0 & \text{if } l = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \beta_{0,j}^n(b_{0,l}^n) = \begin{cases} e_0x & \text{if } l = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{0,j}^n(b_{0,l}^n) = \begin{cases} e_0y & \text{if } l = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \delta_{0,j}^n(b_{0,l}^n) = \begin{cases} e_0xy & \text{if } l = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $s = 2$, then

- If $n \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, we define $\alpha^n_{i,j}$, $\delta^n_{i,j}$: $Q^n \to \Lambda_2$ by

$$\alpha^n_{i,j}(b^n_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} e_i & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \delta^n_{i,j}(b^n_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} e_ixy & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- If $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, we define $\beta^n_{i,j}$, $\gamma^n_{i,j}$: $Q^n \to \Lambda_2$ by

$$\beta^n_{i,j}(b^n_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} e_ix & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \gamma^n_{i,j}(b^n_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} e_iy & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $s \geq 3$, then

- If $n \equiv 0 \pmod s$, we define $\alpha^n_{i,j}$: $Q^n \to \Lambda_s$ by

$$\alpha^n_{i,j}(b^n_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} e_i & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- If $n \equiv 1 \pmod s$, we define $\beta^n_{i,j}$, $\gamma^n_{i,j}$: $Q^n \to \Lambda_s$ by

$$\beta^n_{i,j}(b^n_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} e_ix & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \gamma^n_{i,j}(b^n_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} e_iy & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- If $n \equiv 2 \pmod s$, we define $\delta^n_{i,j}$: $Q^n \to \Lambda_s$ by

$$\delta^n_{i,j}(b^n_{k,l}) = \begin{cases} e_ixy & \text{if } (k,l) = (i,j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Throughout this paper, we regard the subscripts i of $\alpha^\bullet_{i,\bullet}$, $\beta^\bullet_{i,\bullet}$, $\gamma^\bullet_{i,\bullet}$ and $\delta^\bullet_{i,\bullet}$ as modulo s.

For $n \geq 0$, we have an isomorphism of K-spaces F_n: $\bigoplus_{g\in\mathcal{G}^n} o(g)\Lambda_s t(g) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_s^e}(Q^n, \Lambda_s)$ given by $F_n(\sum_{g\in\mathcal{G}^n} z_g)(b^n_{i,j}) = z_{g^n_{i,j}}$, where $z_g \in o(g)\Lambda_s t(g)$ for $g \in \mathcal{G}^n$, $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$ (cf. [F]).

Therefore, by the above isomorphism and Lemma 3.1, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then the K-space $\widehat{Q}^n = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_s^e}(Q^n, \Lambda_s)$ has the following basis*:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha^n_{0,l}, \beta^n_{0,l}, \gamma^n_{0,l}, \delta^n_{0,l}\ (0 \leq l \leq n) & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \alpha^n_{k,l}, \delta^n_{k,l}\ (k = 0,1;\ 0 \leq l \leq n) & \text{if } s = 2 \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod 2, \\ \beta^n_{k,l}, \gamma^n_{k,l}\ (k = 0,1;\ 0 \leq l \leq n) & \text{if } s = 2 \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \pmod 2, \\ \alpha^n_{k,l}\ (0 \leq k \leq s-1;\ 0 \leq l \leq n) & \text{if } s \geq 3 \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod s, \\ \beta^n_{k,l}, \gamma^n_{k,l}\ (0 \leq k \leq s-1;\ 0 \leq l \leq n) & \text{if } s \geq 3 \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \pmod s, \\ \delta^n_{k,l}\ (0 \leq k \leq s-1;\ 0 \leq l \leq n) & \text{if } s \geq 3 \text{ and } n \equiv 2 \pmod s. \end{cases}$$

Also, if $s \geq 4$ and $n \not\equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod s$, then $\widehat{Q}^n = 0$.

3.2. **Maps $\widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$.** In the rest of this paper, we assume $s \geq 3$. By direct computations, we have the images of the basis elements in Lemma 3.2 under the map $\widehat{\partial}^{n+1} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_s^e}(\partial^{n+1}, \Lambda_s)$ for $n \geq 0$:

Lemma 3.3. *For $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$, we have the following equations*:

(i) *If $n \equiv 0 \pmod s$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}(\alpha^n_{i,j}) &= \alpha^n_{i,j}\partial^{n+1} \\ &= \beta^{n+1}_{i,j+1} + \gamma^{n+1}_{i,j} + (-1)^{n+1}(\beta^{n+1}_{i-1,j+1} + \gamma^{n+1}_{i-1,j}). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If* $n \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$, *then*

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}(\beta_{i,j}^n) &= \beta_{i,j}^n \partial^{n+1} &= \delta_{i,j}^{n+1} + (-1)^n \delta_{i-1,j}^{n+1}, \\ \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}(\gamma_{i,j}^n) &= \gamma_{i,j}^n \partial^{n+1} &= -\delta_{i,j+1}^{n+1} + (-1)^{n+1} \delta_{i-1,j+1}^{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

(iii) *If* $n \equiv 2 \pmod{s}$, *then*

$$\widehat{\partial}^{n+1}(\delta_{i,j}^n) = \delta_{i,j}^n \partial^{n+1} = 0.$$

Moreover, if $n \not\equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{s}$, *then we have* $\widehat{\partial}^{n+1} = 0$.

3.3. **A basis of** $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$**.** Now, by Lemma 3.3, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $n \geq 0$ *be any integer. If we write* $n = ms + r$ *for integers* m *and* $0 \leq r \leq s-1$, *then the following elements give a* K*-basis of the subspace* $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$ *of* $\widehat{Q}^{n+1}$:

(a) $\beta_{i,j+1}^{ms+1} + \gamma_{i,j}^{ms+1} - \beta_{i-1,j+1}^{ms+1} - \gamma_{i-1,j}^{ms+1}$ $(0 \leq i \leq s-1,\ 0 \leq j \leq ms)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+1}$ *for* m *odd,* s *odd, and* $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$.

(b) $\beta_{i,j+1}^{ms+1} + \gamma_{i,j}^{ms+1} - \beta_{i-1,j+1}^{ms+1} - \gamma_{i-1,j}^{ms+1}$ $(0 \leq i \leq s-2,\ 0 \leq j \leq ms)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+1}$ *for* m *even,* s *even, or* $\operatorname{char} K = 2$.

(c) $\delta_{i,j}^{ms+2} + \delta_{i-1,j}^{ms+2}$ $(0 \leq i \leq s-1,\ 0 \leq j \leq ms+2)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+2}$ *for* m *odd,* s *odd, and* $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$.

(d) $\delta_{i,j}^{ms+2} + \delta_{i-1,j}^{ms+2}$ $(0 \leq i \leq s-2,\ 0 \leq j \leq ms+2)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+2}$ *for* m *even,* s *even, or* $\operatorname{char} K = 2$.

(e) $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+r+1} = 0$ *for* $r \neq 0, 1$.

As an immediate consequence of the above lemma, we get the dimension of $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$:

Corollary 3.5. *Let* $n = ms + r$ *for integers* $m \geq$ *and* $0 \leq r \leq s-1$. *Then the dimension of* $\operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$ *is as follows*:

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim_K \operatorname{Im} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+r+1} \\ &= \begin{cases} s(ms+1) & \text{if } s \text{ odd, } m \text{ odd, } \operatorname{char} K \neq 2 \text{ and } r = 0, \\ (s-1)(ms+1) & \text{if } s \text{ even and } r = 0, \text{ if } m \text{ even and } r = 0, \text{ or} \\ & \quad \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 2 \text{ and } r = 0, \\ s(ms+3) & \text{if } s \text{ odd, } m \text{ odd, } \operatorname{char} K \neq 2 \text{ and } r = 1, \\ (s-1)(ms+3) & \text{if } s \text{ even and } r = 1, \text{ if } m \text{ even and } r = 1, \text{ or} \\ & \quad \text{if } \operatorname{char} K = 2 \text{ and } r = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

3.4. **A basis of** $\operatorname{Ker} \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$**.** Now, by using Lemma 3.4, we have the following lemma. The proof follows from easy computations.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $n = ms + r$ *for integers* $m \geq 0$ *and* $0 \leq r \leq s-1$. *The following elements give a* K*-basis of the subspace* $\operatorname{Ker} \widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$ *of* $\widehat{Q}^n$:

(a) $\operatorname{Ker} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+1} = 0$ *for* m *odd,* s *odd, and* $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$.

(b) $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \alpha_{i,j}^{ms}$ $(0 \leq j \leq ms)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{Ker} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+1}$ *for* m *even,* s *even, or* $\operatorname{char} K = 2$.

(c) $\beta_{i,j+1}^{ms+1} + \gamma_{i,j}^{ms+1}$ $(0 \leq i \leq s-1,\ 0 \leq j \leq ms)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{Ker} \widehat{\partial}^{ms+2}$ *for* m *odd,* s *odd, and* $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$.

(d) $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\beta_{i,j}^{ms+1}$ $(0\le j\le ms+1)$, $\beta_{i,j+1}^{ms+1}+\gamma_{i,j}^{ms+1}$ $0\le i\le s-2$, $0\le j\le ms)$, $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\gamma_{i,j}^{ms+1}$ $(0\le j\le ms+1)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{Ker}\widehat{\partial}^{ms+2}$ *for* m *even,* s *even, or* $\operatorname{char}K=2$.
(e) $\operatorname{Ker}\widehat{\partial}^{ms+3}=\widehat{Q}^{ms+2}$.
(f) $\operatorname{Ker}\widehat{\partial}^{ms+r+1}=0$ *for* $r\neq 0,1,2$.

As an immediate consequence, we get the dimension of $\operatorname{Ker}\widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$ for $n\ge 1$:

Corollary 3.7. *Let* $n=ms+r$ *for integers* $m\ge 0$ *and* $0\le r\le s-1$. *Then the dimension of* $\operatorname{Ker}\widehat{\partial}^{n+1}$ *is as follows*:

$$\dim_K \operatorname{Ker}\widehat{\partial}^{ms+r+1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \text{ odd, } m \text{ odd, } \operatorname{char}K\neq 2 \text{ and } r=0,\\ ms+1 & \text{if } s \text{ even and } r=0, \text{ if } m \text{ even and } r=0, \text{ or}\\ & \quad \text{if } \operatorname{char}K=2 \text{ and } r=0,\\ s(ms+1) & \text{if } s \text{ odd, } m \text{ odd, } \operatorname{char}K\neq 2 \text{ and } r=1,\\ (s+1)(ms+1)+2 & \text{if } s \text{ even and } r=1, \text{ if } m \text{ even and } r=1, \text{ or}\\ & \quad \text{if } \operatorname{char}K=2 \text{ and } r=1,\\ s(ms+3) & \text{if } r=2,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

3.5. **Calculation of the Hochschild cohomology groups** $\operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$**.** Now, by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6, we have a K-basis of the Hochschild cohomology group $\operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$ for $n\ge 0$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $n=ms+r$ *for integers* $m\ge 0$ *and* $0\le r\le s-1$. *Then the following elements give a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$ *of* Λ_s:

(a) $\operatorname{HH}^{ms}(\Lambda_s)=0$ *for* s *odd,* m *odd, and* $\operatorname{char}K\neq 2$.
(b) $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\alpha_{i,j}^{ms}$ $(0\le j\le ms)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{HH}^{ms}(\Lambda_s)$ *for* s *even,* m *even, or* $\operatorname{char}K=2$.
(c) $\operatorname{HH}^{ms+1}(\Lambda_s)=0$ *for* s *odd,* m *odd, and* $\operatorname{char}\ K\neq 2$.
(d) $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\beta_{i,0}^{ms+1}$, $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\gamma_{i,j}^{ms+1}$ $(0\le j\le ms+1)$, $\beta_{s-1,j+1}^{ms+1}+\gamma_{s-1,j}^{ms+1}$ $(0\le j\le ms)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{HH}^{ms+1}(\Lambda_s)$ *for* s *even,* m *even, or* $\operatorname{char}K=2$.
(e) $\operatorname{HH}^{ms+2}(\Lambda_s)=0$ *for* s *odd,* m *odd and* $\operatorname{char}\ K\neq 2$.
(f) $\delta_{s-1,j}^{ms+2}$ $(0\le j\le ms+2)$ *is a* K*-basis of* $\operatorname{HH}^{ms+2}(\Lambda_s)$ *for* s *even,* m *even, or* $\operatorname{char}K=2$,
(g) $\operatorname{HH}^{ms+r}(\Lambda_s)=0$ *for* $r\neq 0,1,2$.

By Proposition 3.8, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. *Let* $n=ms+r$ *for integers* $m\ge 0$ *and* $0\le r\le s-1$. *Then, for* $s\ge 3$, *we have the dimension formula for* $\operatorname{HH}^n(\Lambda_s)$:

$$\dim_K \operatorname{HH}^{ms+r}(\Lambda_s) = \begin{cases} ms+1 & \text{if } s \text{ even and } r=0, \text{ if } m \text{ even and } r=0, \text{ or}\\ & \quad \text{if } \operatorname{char}K=2 \text{ and } r=0,\\ 2ms+4 & \text{if } s \text{ even and } r=1, \text{ if } m \text{ even and } r=1, \text{ or}\\ & \quad \text{if } \operatorname{char}K=2 \text{ and } r=1,\\ ms+3 & \text{if } s \text{ even and } r=2, \text{ if } m \text{ even and } r=2, \text{ or}\\ & \quad \text{if } \operatorname{char}K=2 \text{ and } r=2,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

4. The Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_s$

Throughout this section, we keep the notation from Sections 2 and 3. Recall that the Hochschild cohomology ring of the algebra Λ_s is defined to be the graded ring

$$\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s) := \mathrm{Ext}^*_{\Lambda_s^e}(\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s) = \bigoplus_{t\geq 0} \mathrm{Ext}^t_{\Lambda_s^e}(\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s)$$

with the Yoneda product. Denote $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ by the ideal generated by all homogeneous nilpotent elements in $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)$. Then the quotient algebra $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ is called the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence of Λ_s. Note that $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ is a commutative graded algebra (see [SnSo]). Our purpose of this section is to find generators and relations of $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ for $s \geq 3$. For simplicity, we denote the graded subalgebras $\bigoplus_{t\geq 0}\mathrm{HH}^{st}(\Lambda_s)$ of $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)$ by $\mathrm{HH}^{s*}(\Lambda_s)$ and $\bigoplus_{t\geq 0}\mathrm{HH}^{2st}(\Lambda_s)$ by $\mathrm{HH}^{2s*}(\Lambda_s)$. Also, we denote the Yoneda product in $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)$ by $\times$. Note that, by Lemma 3.3, $\mathrm{Im}\,\widehat{\partial}^{st} = 0$ and so $\mathrm{HH}^{st}(\Lambda_s) = \mathrm{Ker}\,\widehat{\partial}^{st+1}$ for $s \geq 3$ and $t \geq 0$.

Theorem 4.1. *For $s \geq 3$, there are the following isomorphisms of commutative graded algebras*:

(i) *If s is odd and* $\mathrm{char}\, K \neq 2$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s} \cong \mathrm{HH}^{2s*}(\Lambda_s)\\ &\cong K[z_0, \ldots, z_{2s}]/\langle z_k z_l - z_q z_r \mid k+l = q+r,\ 0 \leq k, l, q, r \leq 2s\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $z_0, \ldots, z_{2s}$ are in degree $2s$.

(ii) *If s is even or* $\mathrm{char}\, K = 2$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s} \cong \mathrm{HH}^{s*}(\Lambda_s)\\ &\cong K[z_0, \ldots, z_s]/\langle z_k z_l - z_q z_r \mid k+l = q+r,\ 0 \leq k, l, q, r \leq s\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $z_0, \ldots, z_s$ are in degree s.

Therefore, $\mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ *is finitely generated as an algebra.*

Proof. We prove (i) only. The proof of (ii) is similar. First, we construct the second isomorphism in the statement. For $0 \leq u \leq 2s$, we set $z_u := \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \alpha^{2s}_{i,u}\colon Q^{2s} \to \Lambda_s$, where $\alpha^{2s}_{i,u}$ is the map defined in Section 3.1. For $0 \leq u \leq 2s$ and $v \geq 0$, we define a Λ_s^e-module homomorphism $\theta^v_u\colon Q^{2s+v} \to Q^v$ by

$$b^{2s+v}_{k,l} \longmapsto \begin{cases} b^v_{k,w} & \text{if } l = u + w \text{ for some integer } w \text{ with } 0 \leq w \leq v,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq l \leq 2s+v$. Then $z_u = \partial^0\theta^0_u$ and $\theta^v_u\partial^{2s+v+1} = \partial^{v+1}\theta^{v+1}_u$ hold for $0 \leq u \leq 2s$ and $v \geq 0$, and so θ^v_u is a lifting of z_u $(0 \leq u \leq 2s)$. Also, it follows that, for any integers $0 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq 2s$, the composite $z_{u_2}\theta^{2s}_{u_1}\colon Q^{4s} \to \Lambda_s$ is given by

$$b^{4s}_{k,l} \longmapsto \begin{cases} e_k & \text{if } l = u_1 + u_2,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq l \leq 4s$. Hence we have $z_{u_2}\theta^{2s}_{u_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1}\alpha^{4s}_{i,u_1+u_2}$, and this equals the Yoneda product $z_{u_1} \times z_{u_2} \in \mathrm{HH}^{4s}(\Lambda_s)$.

Now, let $t \geq 2$ be a positive integer, and let $u_1, \ldots, u_t$ be integers with $0 \leq u_1, \ldots, u_t \leq 2s$. Then it is proved by induction on t that the product $z_{u_1} \times \cdots \times z_{u_t}$ equals the map

$$Q^{2st} \to \Lambda_s;\ b^{2st}_{k,l} \longmapsto \begin{cases} e_k & \text{if } l = \sum_{p=1}^{t} u_p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq s-1$ and $0 \leq l \leq 2st$, which equals the map $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \alpha^{2st}_{i,\sum_{p=1}^{t} u_p}$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.8 (b), we see that $\mathrm{HH}^{2s*}(\Lambda_s)$ is generated by $z_0, \ldots, z_{2s} \in \mathrm{HH}^{2s}(\Lambda_s)$.

Let $t \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $z_{u_1} \times \cdots \times z_{u_t}$ and $z_{u'_1} \times \cdots \times z_{u'_t}$ be any products in $\mathrm{HH}^{2st}(\Lambda_s)$ for $0 \leq u_p, u'_p \leq 2s$ $(1 \leq p \leq t)$. Then, since $z_{u_1} \times \cdots \times z_{u_t} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \alpha^{2st}_{i,\sum_{p=1}^{t} u_p}$ and $z_{u'_1} \times \cdots \times z_{u'_t} = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \alpha^{2st}_{i,\sum_{p=1}^{t} u'_p}$, it follows that $z_{u_1} \times \cdots \times z_{u_t} = z_{u'_1} \times \cdots \times z_{u'_t}$ if and only if $\sum_{p=1}^{t} u_p = \sum_{p=1}^{t} u'_p$. This means that the relations $z_k z_l - z_q z_r = 0$ for every $0 \leq k, l, q, r \leq 2s$ with $k + l = q + r$ are enough to give the second isomorphism.

Now, using the second isomorphism, we easily see that all elements in $\mathrm{HH}^{2s*}(\Lambda_s)$ are not nilpotent. Furthermore, for $t \geq 0$ and $r = 1, \ldots, s-1$, the image of all basis elements of $\mathrm{HH}^{2st+r}(\Lambda_s)$ described in Proposition 3.8 are in $\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s$, so that by [SnSo, Proposition 4.4], $\mathrm{HH}^{2st+r}(\Lambda_s)$ is contained in $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$. Hence we have the first isomorphism. □

We conclude this paper with the following remarks.

Remark 4.2. Let $E(\Lambda_s) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\Lambda_s}(\Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s, \Lambda_s/\operatorname{rad}\Lambda_s)$ be the Ext algebra of Λ_s, and let $Z_{gr}(E(\Lambda_s))$ be the graded center of $E(\Lambda_s)$ (see [BGSS], for example). Denote by $\mathcal{N}'_{\Lambda_s}$ the ideal of $Z_{gr}(E(\Lambda_s))$ generated by all homogeneous nilpotent elements. Since Λ_s is a Koszul algebra by Proposition 2.2, it follows by [BGSS] that $Z_{gr}(E(\Lambda_s))/\mathcal{N}'_{\Lambda_s} \cong \mathrm{HH}^*(\Lambda_s)/\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda_s}$ as graded rings. Therefore, we have the same presentation of $Z_{gr}(E(\Lambda_s))/\mathcal{N}'_{\Lambda_s}$ by generators and relations as that in Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.3. In [F], Furuya has discussed the Hochschild cohomology of some self-injective special biserial algebra A_T for $T \geq 0$, and in particular he has given a presentation of $\mathrm{HH}^*(A_T)/\mathcal{N}_{A_T}$ by generators and relations in the case $T = 0$. We easily see that the algebra Λ_4 is isomorphic to A_0. By setting $s = 4$ in Theorem 4.1, our presentation actually coincides with that in [F, Theorem 4.1].

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Professor Katsunori Sanada and Professor Takahiko Furuya for many helpful comments and suggestions on improving the clarity of the paper.

References

[ASS] I. Assem, D. Simson and A. Skowroński, *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras*, Vol. 1. Techniques of representation theory. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[BGSS] R.-O. Buchweitz, E. L. Green, N. Snashall and Ø. Solberg, Multiplicative structures for Koszul algebras, Quart. J. Math. 59 (2008), no. 4, 441-454.

[E] L. Evens, The cohomology ring of a finite group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 224-239.

[ES] K. Erdmann and S. Schroll, On the Hochschild cohomology of tame Hecke algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) 94 (2010), no. 2, 117-127.

[F] T. Furuya, Hochschild cohomology for a class of some self-injective special biserial algebras of rank four, arXiv:1403.6375.

[FO] T. Furuya and D. Obara, Hochschild cohomology of a class of weakly symmetric algebras with radical cube zero, SUT J. Math. 48 (2012), no. 2, 117-143.

[GHMS] E. L. Green, G. Hartmann, E. N. Marcos and Ø. Solberg, Resolutions over Koszul algebras, Arch. Math. (Basel) 85 (2005), 118-127.

[GSS1] E. L. Green, N. Snashall and Ø. Solberg, The Hochschild cohomology ring of a selfinjective algebra of finite representation type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), no. 11, 3387-3393 (electronic).

[GSS2] E.L. Green, N. Snashall and Ø. Solberg, The Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence of a monomial algebra, J. Algebra Appl. 5 (2006), no. 2, 153-192.

[GSZ] E.L. Green, Ø. Solberg and D. Zacharia, Minimal projective resolutions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), 2915-2939.

[S] N. Snashall, Support varieties and the Hochschild cohomology ring modulo nilpotence, Proceedings of the 41st Symposium on Ring Theory and Representation Theory, 68-82, Ed. H. Fujita, Tsukuba, 2009.

[ScSn] S. Schroll and N. Snashall, Hochschild cohomology and support varieties for tame Hecke algebras, Quart. J. Math. 62 (2011), no. 4, 1017-1029.

[SnSo] N. Snashall and Ø. Solberg, Support varieties and Hochschild cohomology rings, Proc. London Math. 81 (2004), 705-732.

[ST] N. Snashall and R. Taillefer, The Hochschild cohomology ring of a class of special biserial algebras, J. Algebra Appl. 9 (2010), no. 1, 73-122.

[X] F. Xu, Hochschild and ordinary cohomology rings of small categories, Adv. Math. 219 (2008), no. 6, 1872-1893.

[XH] Y. Xu and Y. Han, Hochschild (co)homology of exterior algebras, Comm. Algebra 35 (2007), no. 1, 115-131.

[XZ] Y. Xu and C. Zhang, More counterexamples to Happel's question and Snashall-Solberg's conjecture, arXiv:1109.3956.

Ayako Itaba, Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science, Kagurazaka 1-3, Shinjuku, Tokyo 162-0827, Japan

E-mail address: j1110701@ed.tus.ac.jp